arXiv:astro-ph/0201441v1 28 Jan 2002

The Micro-Jansky Sky at 8.4 GHz

E. B. Fomalont and K. I. Kellermann
National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, VA 22903
`efomalon@nrao.edu, kkellerm@nrao.edu`

R. B. Partridge
Haverford College, Haverford, PA 19041
`bpartrid@haverford.edu`

R. A. Windhorst
Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287
`Rogier.Windhorst@asu.edu`

E. A. Richards
Department of Astronomy, University of Alabama, Huntsville, AL 35899
`eric.richards@msfc.nasa.gov`

ABSTRACT

We present the results from two radio integrations at 8.4 GHz using the VLA. One of the fields, at 13^h+43° (SA13 field), has an rms noise level of 1.49 μJy and is the deepest radio image yet made. Thirty-four sources in a complete sample were detected above 7.5 μJy and 25 are optically identified to a limit of I=25.8, using our deep HST and ground-based images. The radio sources are usually located within $0.5''$ (typically 5 kpc) of a galaxy nucleus, and generally have a diameter less than $2.5''$. The second field at 17^h+50° (Hercules Field) has an rms noise of 35 μjy and contains 10 sources.

We have also analyzed a complete flux density-limited sample at 8.4 GHz of 89 sources from five deep radio surveys, including the Hubble deep and flanking fields as well as the two new fields. Half of all the optical counterparts are with galaxies brighter than I=23 mag, but 20% are fainter than I=25.5 mag. We confirm the tendency for the micro-Jansky radio sources to prefer multi-galaxy systems.

The distribution of the radio spectral index between 1.4 and 8.4 GHz peaks at $\alpha \approx -0.75$ ($S \sim \nu^{+\alpha}$), with a median value of -0.6. The average spectral index becomes steeper (lower values) for sources below 35 μJy, and for sources identified with optical counterparts fainter than I=25.5 mag. This correlation may suggest that there is an increasing contribution from star-burst galaxies compared to active galactic nuclei (AGNs) at lower radio flux densities and fainter optical counterparts.

The differential radio count between 7.5 and 1000 μJy has a slope of -2.11 ± 0.13 and a surface density of 0.64 sources $(\mathrm{arcmin})^{-2}$ with flux density greater than 7.5 μJy.

Subject headings: Galaxies:Active; Galaxies:Star-Burst; Radio Continuum:Galaxies

1. Introduction

Deep surveys of the extragalactic sky have been made at X-ray (Hasinger et al. 1998; Cowie et al. 2001), optical (Lilly et al. 1996; Madau et al. 1996; Steidel et al. 1999), infra-red (Goldschmidt et al. 1997; Rowan-Robinson et al. 1997; Elbaz et al. 1999), sub-mm (Hughes et al. 1998; Barger et al. 1999; Blain et al. 1999; Barger et al. 2000; Scott et al. 2000), as well as radio wavelengths (Windhorst et al. 1984; Windhorst et al. 1985; Condon & Mitchell 1984; Donnelly et al. 1987; Fomalont et al. 1991; Windhorst et al. 1993; Richards et al. 1998; Richards et al. 1999; Prandoni et al. 2001). Much of the interest in these surveys of weak objects concern the evidence for star formation and AGN evolution in galaxies in the early universe, at redshifts in the range 1 to 3 (Condon & Yin 1990; Rowan-Robinson et al. 1993; Cram 1998; Mobasher et al. 1999; Steidel et al. 1999; Haarsma et al. 2000).

The radio observations are unique in that at radio wavelengths it is possible to peer through the gas and dust that obscures the nuclear regions of galaxies at other wavelengths. The radio observations also have sufficient angular resolution to distinguish between emission that is driven by star formation and that driven by AGN. Although star forming activity in the early universe is perhaps most readily observed at sub-millimeter wavelengths, current sub-millimeter instruments do not have sufficient angular resolution to avoid confusion due to blending of nearby sources, so high resolution radio observations are needed to uniquely identify optical counterparts. Moreover, because of the large negative k-correction, dusty galaxies observed at sub-mm wavelengths all have high redshifts, whereas the radio observations are sensitive to a wide range of redshift and a mix of star-burst and AGN activity.

As the most sensitive radio telescope available for these kinds of observations, the Very Large Array (VLA) has been used for many deep surveys (Condon & Mitchell 1984; Windhorst et al. 1984; Windhorst et al. 1985). Deep radio source surveys have also been made with the Australian Telescope Compact Array (Hopkins et al. 1999; Williams et al. 2000; Prandoni et al. 2001), and with the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (Garrett et al. 2000), but with less sensitivity and poorer resolution than possible with the VLA. These observations show that below levels of about 10 mJy, the number of radio sources increases more rapidly than the number between 1 mJy and 500 mJy and are composed of a different population of sources.

In this paper we report on the results of new 8.4 GHz VLA surveys which cover two fields, each of diameter $9.2'$ to the 8% sensitivity level of the on-axis position. One field, located at 13^h+43°, which we will designate as the SA13 field, was one of the Hubble Space Telescope Medium Deep Survey (MDS) key projects, observed in 1992-3 (Griffiths et al. 1994; Windhorst et al. 1994; Windhorst et al. 1995). These optical observations, which were made before HST refurbishment, reach a limiting sensitivity of I=25.5 mag. The extensive complementary VLA observation detected sources as faint as 7.5 μJy at 8.4 GHz. A preliminary account of the HST identifications in this field (Windhorst et al. 1995), hereinafter designated as Paper I, was based on the first half of these observations which were made at lower resolution, and included only radio sources located in the small 2.5 (arcmin)^2 field of view of the HST. We have also used these same VLA observations of this field to examine the small scale fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background radiation (Partridge et al. 1997). This field has also been observed with the VLA at 1.4 GHz, covering a much larger area, with additional KPNO optical imaging (Richards 2000; Richards et al. 2002), and with the MERLIN/VLBI National Facility of the University of Manchester at 1.4 GHz (Fomalont et al. 2002)

A second field, located at 17^h+50° and designated at the Hercules field, was previously imaged with WFPC2 in a 48-orbit exposure, and reaches a 10-σ point source sensitivity of about 27.7 mag in B and V and 26.8 in I (Windhorst et al. 1998). Twelve known objects in this field, including three radio-weak AGN, have spectroscopic redshifts z near 2.4 (Pascarelle et al. 1996a; Pascarelle et al. 1996b; Pascarelle et al. 1998; Pascarelle et al. 2001). A WFPC2 image made with a medium band redshifted Lyα filter indicates the presence of a number of other compact galaxy candidates with redshifts near 2.4. The VLA image of this field reached a limiting flux density of only 35 μJy, but provides improved statistics for radio sources above this level.

In §2 of this paper we describe the VLA observations, calibrations and imaging for the two fields. The radio and optical parameters for the sources are given in §3, and detailed radio/optical images with discussions are given in §4, along with discussions of the identifications. In addition, using data from five deep 8.4-GHz surveys, we discuss the spectral properties, the source density and the optical properties of the micro-Jansky radio sources. Further discussion is given in the final section.

2. The VLA Observations, Calibration and Imaging

The SA13 field was selected from among the HST Medium Deep Survey fields to be devoid of strong radio sources, which would limit the sensitivity of a deep radio survey. This field, centered at $\alpha = 13^h12^m17.4^s$ and $\delta = 42°38'05''$, epoch J2000, was observed with the VLA for a total of 190 hours, of which we obtained 159 hours of good data (more detail given below). These data included 84 hours in the most compact D-configuration (maximum baseline 1 km) observed between 1993 October and 1994 January, and 75 hours in the C-configuration (maximum baseline 3 km) observed between 1994 November and 1995 January. The earlier discussion in Paper I of the optical counterparts in this field was based on the 1993/1994 D-configuration observations, but with the preliminary new C-configuration data. The Hercules field was observed for 12 hours in the VLA C-configuration in 1996 February. The center of this field is at $\alpha = 17^h14^m14.78^s$ and $\delta = 50°15'29.86''$, epoch J2000.

The observations were made at two frequencies, 8.415 GHz and 8.465 GHz, each with dual circular polarization with a bandwidth of 50 MHz. At this frequency the full-width half-power (FWHP) beamwidth of the VLA 25-m antennas is $5.23'$. We examined the area out to the 8% power level, at a radius of $4.55'$ from the field center. This corresponds to a solid angle of 6.5×10^{-6} sr for each field.

Each observing session lasted 8-10 hours and was split into 30-minute segments which included 27 minutes on the survey field and 3 minutes on a nearby calibrator source. For the SA13 field, we used the unresolved calibrator source, J1244+4806 ($\alpha = 12^h44^m49.1955^s$, $\delta = 40°48'06.219''$; J2000), and for the Hercules field, the calibrator source J1658+4749 ($\alpha = 16^h58^m02.7789^s$, $\delta = 47°47'49.200$; J2000). The position grids for the surveys are tied to these calibrators, both of which have a position known to better than $0.1''$. The amplitude calibration was derived from observations of 3C286 made once per day; we assumed a flux density of 5.19 Jy at 8.44 GHz.

The data from each observing session were edited for occasional short periods of interference, excessive noise in individual correlators or other technical problems, antenna shadowing, and inclement weather conditions. In the SA13 field, less than one percent of the data was lost to interference and technical problems, but we had to discard about 30 hours of data due to poor weather, primarily snow, during 4 of the 27 observing sessions, each of 6 to 8 hours duration.

Both fields were imaged and deconvolved with the AIPS tasks IMAGR using a pixel separation $0.75''$ over a field of view of $1500''$ in order to detect radio sources well outside of the primary beam field of view which could seriously affect the image quality (Fomalont et al. 1991; Windhorst et al. 1993). The visibility data were weighted (AIPS terminology of robust=1) to obtain high signal to noise with low sidelobe levels and good resolution. For the SA13 field which contained both C and D configuration data, the full-width at half-power (FWHP) resolution was $6''$. We also made an image with a resolution of $4''$ to help resolve possible source blends. For the Hercules field with only C-configuration data, the resolution was $3.5''$. The measured rms noise in the SA13 field was 1.49 μJy, which makes this the most sensitive radio image yet obtained. For the Hercules field the rms noise was 7.52 μJy. The strongest source in the SA13 field has a measured map flux density of 120 μJy. This is less than one hundred times the thermal receiver noise limit, so dynamic range limitations are not an important factor. The Hercules field contained a known 'bright' radio source of 6 mJy at the field center, 53W002 (Windhorst et al. 1984), which was the target of the deep HST study (Windhorst et al. 1998). Artifacts from the 6-mJy source limited the dynamic range of the image, but self-calibration with a solution interval of 3 min had sufficient signal to noise to improve the calibration, and produce an image was signal-to-noise limited

The completeness limit of a survey is that level above which there is near certainty that any source is real. As discussed in previous VLA papers on radio surveys with comparable resolution, crowdedness and field of view, a source with a peak flux density on the image > 5.0-σ has a probability of $> 98\%$ of being a true detection (Richards et al. 1998). This percentage includes the effects of receiver noise and side-lobe contamination from faint sources. The most negative peak flux density on the image is also a good indication of the detection limit (Partridge et al. 1997). We found that detection levels of 7.5 μJy and 35.0 μJy level for the SA13 and Hercules fields, respectively, met both of the above criteria. We also confirmed 19 of the 20 sources detected in Paper I using similar criteria. In order to search for possible extended radio sources with low surface brightness, we convolved the images to $10''$ resolution for sources above the detection level of the highest resolution image, but above that for a lower resolution image. One such extended source was found in the SA13 field (source 27 in Table 1).

3. The Source Lists

The radio images for the entire SA13 and the Hercules fields are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. In Figure 3 we show the image of the central part of the SA13 field where the radio sensitivity is greatest. The figure shows the excellent quality of the radio image, the precise alignment of most of the radio/optical identifications and the obvious faintness of the identifications for some of the sources. The sources labeled with a number are given in Table 1. Seven Weaker radio sources which are coincident with an optical object are labeled with a letter and given at the end of Table 1.

Parameters for the 50 sources labeled in the SA13 field and the 10 sources labeled in the Hercules field have entries listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Thirty-four sources in the SA13 field were found in the complete sample with a peak flux density greater than 7.5 μJy and within $4.55'$ of the field center where the sensitivity drops to 8% of the on-axis sensitivity. Sixteen additional sources, not in the complete sample, are also included in the Table. All instrumental and resolution effects used to obtain the source parameters have been described elsewhere (Fomalont et al. 1991; Windhorst et al. 1993).

Table 1 is organized as follows: Columns 1 and 2 show the source numbers used in this paper and in Paper I, respectively, to identify the sources more conveniently. Column 3 gives the source name. An asterisk before the name indicates the fifteen sources which are **not** in the complete sample. Column 4 shows the total sky flux density and error estimate after correction for all instrumental and resolution effects including the primary beam attenuation of the telescopes. Column 5 shows the signal-to-noise on the $6''$-resolution image and column 6 gives the deconvolved source angular size or limit in arcseconds. The right ascension and declination, with rms errors are given in columns 7 and 8, respectively. The remaining four columns list the optical identification type and integrated magnitude in three bands. Further descriptions of the optical data are given in the next section.

Eight faint radio sources, which are below our formal completeness level but have probable identifications with optical counterparts, are listed at the end of Table 1, and labeled *a* through *g*. The probability that these radio sources is real is less than 30% based on the radio flux density alone. However, their near coincidence with an optical counterpart increases their reality to 80%; hence, only one of the eight sources is likely to be bogus (Richards et al. 1999).

There is good agreement between the source list in Table 1 and that in Paper I based on about one-half as much data, and at lower resolution. Of the 20 sources above the previous detection level of 8.8 μJy, 16 are in the complete sample of Table 1. The four remaining sources from Paper I are just below our 7.5 μJy completion limit; three of these objects are identified with galaxies.

The source list for the Hercules field is given in Table 2. The resolution is $3.5''$ and the completeness limit is 35 μJy. Ten sources are listed; six of these are in the complete sample. Columns 1 through 7 are similar in content to that in Table 1. Only two of the radio sources have been identified from an HST image which have been described elsewhere (Windhorst et al. 1998); the optical in-formation is given in column 8.

4. The Optical Identifications

The identification of radio sources in the SA13 field is based on two sets of optical material. the HST-MDS WF/PC images—observed with two filters: V-band (5420Å) and I-band (8920Å), respectively—were used for the identification of radio sources near the center of the SA13 radio field. The calibration and processing of this optical data was discussed in Paper I. The identification limits are V=26.8 mag and I=25.8 mag with FWHP resolution of $0.2''$. For radio sources outside the MDS field of view, identifications were made with the KPNO 4-m telescopes with B-band (4420Å) and I-band (8920Å) filters. The limiting magnitudes here were B=26.0 and I=25.5 mag, with seeing of about FWHM $1.5''$. The observational details are discussed elsewhere (Campos et al. 1999; Richards et al. 2002). The I-magnitude scale of the KPNO images was calibrated to agree with that of the MDS, with an estimated error of 0.2 mag. The B-magnitude scale of the KPNO images were calibrated using the extrapolation of the MDS I- and V-magnitude scale, assuming a power law extrapolation of the intensity of the the flat-spectrum (blue) objects. This extrapolation is accurate to an estimated error of 0.4 mag.

The precise registration of the KPNO and the MDS images with the radio grid was determined from the alignment of the high quality radio/optical coincidences to an accuracy of $0.2''$. Only zero and first order corrections to the optical image were needed. Confidence in the registration of the images at this accuracy is important for the proper interpretation of the identifications.

The integrated optical magnitudes for all identifications in the SA13 field are given in Table 1. The galaxy type is given in Column 9 and the B-, V- and I-magnitudes are given in columns 10, 11 and 12, respectively. The galaxy types are: g=galaxy of unknown morphology; g?=uncertain identification of a very faint object; EF = empty field; g/b=binary galaxies or closely spaced galaxies, with the magnitude given for the objects containing the radio emission; Q=quasar: Ell=elliptical galaxy; sp=spiral galaxy.

In Figures 4a and 4b we show postage-stamp plots for all 42 radio sources in the SA13 field listed in Table 1. In contrast to Figure 3, the green contour lines in Figures 4a and 4b show the optical I-band distribution, while the location of the position of the radio source is indicated by the small black ellipses in each plot. Each field is $8''$ on a side and centered at the position of the radio source. Most of the radio sources are unresolved (typically $< 2.5''$) and the black ellipses indicates the 1- and 2-σ limits for the position of the radio centroid. All sources have a KPNO I-band image FWHM $1.5''$ seeing and the 22 sources nearest the field center also have an MDS I-band image with FWHP $0.2''$ resolution. (See Paper I for a discussion of the removal of the chromatic aberration from the pre-refurbished HST images.)

Comments on the SA13 Identifications:

- **No 1. J131157+423910:** Detected only on the I-band KPNO image with I=23.5 mag. The optical identification is too faint to be classified.
- **No 2. J131157+423610:** Empty field. Possible detection of an I=26 mag object.
- **No 3. J131203+424030:** Detected only on the I-band KPNO image with I=24.3 mag. The identification is too faint to be classified.
- **No 4. J131203+423331:** Identified with an isolated galaxy, somewhat extended in PA=100°.
- **No 5. J131205+423851:** Empty field.
- **No 6. J131207+423945:** Empty field.
- **No 7. J131209+424217:** Identified with an isolated galaxy, possibly extended in pa=70°.
- **No 8. J131211+424053:** The radio emission is identified with the southern pair of two circular galaxies separated by $3''$. The identified galaxy has (B-I) color =3.7 mag and a size of about $2''$. The northern galaxy, also circular, has about the same angular size, but is not unusually red. Its radio flux density is 17 ± 5 μJy, but lies below the formal detection level.

- **No 9. J131213+423333:** A weak radio source, not in the complete sample, which is identified with a bright galaxy with a faint companion 2″ to the north. Another elliptically-shaped galaxy about 4″ south is extended towards the radio source and may be interacting with the bright galaxy. Because of the faintness of the radio source, the radio-optical position offset is uncertain,

- **No 10. J131213+424129:** Identified with a bright galaxy with an extensive, blue halo.

- **No 11. J131213+423706:** A faint smudge is present on both the KPNO and MDS images with I≈ 25.5 mag.

- **No 12. J131214+423821:** A faint radio source below the completeness level is identified with a galaxy. Both this galaxy and a fainter galaxy, about 3″ NW, are elongated in the same direction. The radio emission is extended about 3″, about the size of the galaxy disk. The light profile of the galaxy is exponential, suggesting two interacting galaxies (Windhorst et al. 1994).

- **No 13. J131214+423730:** Identified with a faint blue galaxy. It is too faint to be classified further.

- **No 14. J131215+423702:** Identified with a bright, distorted galaxy with z=0.322 (Paper I). There may be a bridge of optical emission between this galaxy and a fainter galaxy 3″ to the west. The galaxy shows a bulge population and a strong $r^{1/4}$ profile. It is relatively blue in color and shows narrow emission lines. (Windhorst et al. 1994).

- **No 15. J131215+423901:** Identified with a quasar with z=2.561 (Paper I). The radio source is slightly extended with an angular size of 3″.

- **No 16. J131216+423921:** Empty field associated with the bright radio source. Another faint radio source, about 12″ south with a flux density of 6 μJy (source b in Table 1), is probably identified with a I=24 galaxy. The two sources are probably unrelated.

- **No 17. J131217+423912:** Identified with the brighter of a pair of two overlapping galaxies. The fainter galaxy, 2.5″ to the NW is 1.5 mag fainter and is clearly distorted. The velocity profile of the galaxy is exponential (Windhorst et al. 1994) and the galaxy is very red.

- **No 18. J131217+423930:** Empty field.

- **No 19. J131218+423807:** A faint radio source, not in the complete sample, is probably identified with a faint 25 mag galaxy or galaxies. A brighter 23-mag galaxy about 4″ to the west appears unrelated.

- **No 20. J131218+423843:** Identified with the brighter pair of two nearly overlapping galaxies. The fainter galaxy, 2.5″ to the SE is 1.5 mag fainter and is is more distorted than the brighter galaxy, which is very red.

- **No 21. J131219+423608:** Identified with a distorted galaxy. The radio source is extended 4.0″ in position angle 120°. From the higher resolution observations at 1.4 GHz (Richards et al. 2002), the radio emission is composed of two small-diameter sources whose positions are indicated by the two black ellipses in the image. Since the two radio components lie in about the same orientation as the optical object, they are probably both associated with the galaxy. The cause of this non-nuclear radio emission is unknown. The radio objects may be a small compact double source, resembling a FR-1 morphology, or they maybe associated with individual galactic objects far from the galactic center.

- **No 22. J131219+423631:** The higher resolution observations at 1.4 GHz suggest that this extended radio source is probably composed of two separated radio sources (Richards et al. 2002). The fainter radio component to the NW is coincident with a bright, elliptically-shaped galaxy. The brighter radio component to the SE is unidentified with a limit of I=26 mag. We believe these two radio components are unrelated, although the unidentified source could be associated with a galactic component in the halo of the bright galaxy.

The light profile of the galaxy is exponential, indicating a disk-dominated galaxy (Windhorst et al. 1994).

- **No 23. J131220+423923:** Empty field. However, this radio sources is only 5″ west of the bright 18-m galaxy associated with J131221+423923 (No. 27) (Richards 2000). The question arises if this radio source is associated with a galactic component in the halo of this bright galaxy?
- **No 24. J131220+423704:** Empty field.
- **No 25. J131220+424029:** Empty field.
- **No 26. J131220+423535:** Empty field.
- **No 27. J131221+423923:** Identified with an 18-m barred spiral galaxy with z=0.302 (Paper I). The radio source is about 5″ in size, contained within the disk of the galaxy. The galaxy disk is distorted; it is blue in color and there are narrow emission lines (Windhorst et al. 1994).
- **No 28. J131221+423722:** Identified with an 18-m, edge-on, barred spiral galaxy with z=0.180 (Paper I). The galaxy has a relatively blue color and shows weak emission lines. More details are given elsewhere (Windhorst et al. 1994).
- **No 29. J132121+423827:** Identified with the middle optical peak in a chain of three or four galaxies comprising one of the most distorted optical regions associated with a detected radio source. The light profile of the galaxy is exponential, indicating a disk galaxy. (Windhorst et al. 1994).
- **No 30. J131222+423813:** Identified with a quasar with z=2.561, i.e. the same redshift for J131315+423901 (No 15). They are probably in the same distant cluster or group of objects. With a separation of 80″ they are unlikely to be a gravitational-lens pair.
- **No 31. J131223+423908:** Identified with the brighter of a pair of galaxies, separated by 6″ . The brighter galaxy is somewhat distorted and the fainter galaxy is slightly extended towards the brighter. The radio emission is also slightly extended, although well within the disk of the brighter galaxy. The velocity profile of the galaxy is exponential and its color is very red (Windhorst et al. 1994).
- **No 32. J131223+423712:** Identified with the brighter of a pair of overlapping galaxies, separated by 2″ . Two other overlapping galaxies lie 5″ to the east, and all four may be connected by a faint optical bridge. The radio emission is coincident with the galactic nucleus of the bright galaxy and is $< 2''$ in angular size. Higher resolution observations at 1.4 GHz (Richards et al. 2002) give a size of 1.5″ in PA=120°. This radio source may be associated with an interacting system of galaxies. The redshift of both bright galaxies is 0.401 (Paper I). The galaxy containing the radio source has little significant bulge population, contains weak emission lines and is rather red (Windhorst et al. 1994).
- **No 33. J131223+423525:** Identified with a bright, somewhat elliptical galaxy with a large distorted halo.
- **No 34. J131224+423804:** A faint radio source, not in the complete sample, which is identified with a faint object or objects. However, the identification is too faint to be further categorized.
- **No 35. J131225+424656:** Possibly identified with a I=25 mag object, although the radio/optical offset is larger than expected.
- **No 36. J131225+424103:** A relatively bright radio source which lies 2″ south of the I=22 mag Ir galaxy and 4″ north of an I=20 mag asymmetric galaxy. The radio source may be associated with a faint extension south from the Ir galaxy with I=25.5 mag. The faint optical extensions associated with the two bright galaxies indicate they are probably interacting with each other.
- **No 37. J131225+423941:** A bright radio source which may be associated with an object just at the detection limit. It is 1.5″ north of an I=23.5 mag galaxy. This radio source lies near the MDS field edge.

- **No 38. J131226+424227:** Identified with an I=18 mag, slightly elliptical galaxy. The radio source is not in the complete sample.

- **No 39. J131227+423800:** Identified with a I=18mag edge-on galaxy which is 5″ SSE of an I=17 mag elliptical galaxy (the contours are distorted near this galaxy). These two galaxies overlap, although there is no appreciable distortion; hence, their interaction is not certain. The light profile of the galaxy is exponential and its color is unusually red, possibly a dusty, disk galaxy (Windhorst et al. 1994).

- **No 40. J131232+424038:** Identified with an I=24.8 mag object which may be extended in the east-west direction. The identification is too faint to be further classified.

- **No 41. J131236+424027:** This radio source is displaced in PA=270° from the nucleus of this I=18 mag galaxy. It is not in the complete radio sample so the four-sigma radio/optical offset might be spurious. The galaxy is elliptical and symmetric with little distortion. However, the galaxy orientation is also in PA=270°, which somewhat supports the radio/optical offset.

- **No 42. J131239+423911:** Identified with an I 24.5 mag galaxy which is too faint to be further classified.

- **Weak Source a. J131213+423946:** Identified with an I=20.0 mag circular galaxy. Another galaxy with I=22.5 mag lies 4″ to the south-west.

- **Weak Source b. J131213+423826:** Identified with a faint galaxy which is too faint to be further classified.

- **Weak Source c. J131215+423913:** Identified with a diffuse, extended galaxy.

- **Weak Source d. J131216+423920:** Radio source is 2″ east of an I=23.8 mag, somewhat extended, galaxy.

- **Weak Source e. J131219+423932:** Radio source near an I=24.0 mag galaxy. Brighter galaxies lie 2″ to the south-west and 3″ to the north-east.

- **Weak Source f. J131221+423836:** Companion galaxy lies 3″ east-north-east.

- **Weak Source g. J131225+423742:** Bright elliptical galaxy with I=18.0 mag. Radio source may be extended in the same direction.

- **Weak Source h. J131225+423907:** Identified with a slightly extended I=22.5 mag galaxy.

5. The Properties of the Micro-Jansky Sources at 8.4 GHz

We have gathered data from five deep VLA integrations at 8.4 GHz in order to study the radio spectral properties, the optical properties and the source count of the micro-Jansky radio population. Parameters for the five surveys made over the past decade are summarized in Table 3, which includes references to lower frequency radio data and optical data as well. Column 5 gives the integration time in each field, and column 6 gives the complete survey detection level. Column 7, 8 and 9 show the total number of sources listed in each survey, the number of sources in the complete sample above the detection level, and the number of sources expected based on the best source count described below; respectively.

Although the five catalogs contain a total of 201 sources, only 89 of them are in a complete flux-limited 8-GHz sample, and only these are listed in Table 4. The table contains the source properties that are discussed in this section. The last column indicates the detection of X-ray emission from the sources in the Hubble and SA13 fields (Brant et al. 2001; Mushotzky et al. 2000)

5.1. Spectral Index

Accurate spectral indices of the 89 sources have been determined by comparing our results to observations at frequencies lower than 8.4 GHz (see references at the end of Table 3). Only seven of them are lower limits (one in the SA13 field and six in the Hubble fields). In Figure 5 we show four histogram comparison of the spectral index distributions associated with various radio and optical properties.

In Figure 5a we show the spectral index distribution for all 89 sources and separately for the 34 sources in the SA13 field. There is no significant difference between the two distributions. In the total distribution, the spectral range of the seven sources which were not detected above twice the 1.4-GHz noise level upper limits on the spectral index, are indicated by the arrows in the histogram. Since the observations at the various frequencies were made at different times, source variability could affect the spectral index calculation, but variability of micro-Jansky sources is not common (Oort & Windhorst 1985; Richards et al. 1999). About one-quarter of the sources have a spectral index in the range $-0.85 < \alpha < -0.65$. The distribution drops precipitously at lower spectral indices (steeper spectra), but more slowly for high spectral indices (flatter spectra). The median value is about $\alpha_m = -0.60$. Only a few objects have an inverted spectral index with $\alpha > +0.1$, although some sources with only a 1.4 GHz flux density upper limit could fall in this category.

The spectral distribution shows a higher proportion of steep spectrum objects than reported from less sensitive, 8.4-GHz micro-Jansky surveys where the median spectral index was $\alpha_m \approx -0.4$ (Fomalont et al. 1991; Windhorst et al. 1993). The reason for this difference is illustrated in Figure 5b where the spectral distribution is shown for 46 sources with $S_{8.4} > 35$ μJy and for 43 weaker sources with $S_{8.4} < 35$ μJy. *The lower flux density sources are more likely to have a steep spectral index than the high flux density sources.* The likelihood that the distribution of two samples chosen randomly would differ by at least this much is only 18%. This change explains why a flatter average spectrum was found in the less sensitive, earlier, 8.4 GHz surveys. Possible causes of the change of spectral properties below 35 μJy are given in §6.

Figure 5c shows that those objects which are fainter than I=25.5 mag are predominantly steep radio spectrum objects. Although there are only 17 sources in this faint galaxy sample; no object has a spectral index flatter than −0.2 and most are steeper than −0.65. Figure 5d is a plot of the spectral index distribution for the 41 galaxies which have measured colors. As a discriminatory of the color for the SA13 field we used the (B-I) magnitudes; for the Hubble field we used the [I-(H+K)/2] magnitudes. In this sample of 41 sources, 19 galaxies are significantly reddened and their spectral index distribution is also shown. The slight difference in the radio spectral index between the two groups suggests that fewer of reddened objects have a flat spectrum. This trend was also found from VLA observations at 5 GHz and 1.4 GHz and from the Canadian-France redshift Survey (Fomalont et al. 1991; Hammer et al. 1995). This correlation, although weaker than that in Figure 5c, is compatible since reddened objects would tend to have relatively faint I-band emission.

Nine of the sources in Table 4 are identified with X-ray sources (Mushotzky et al. 2000; Brant et al. 2001). Two are in the SA13 field. In contrast, seven X-ray identifications have been made in the Hubble field and these associations show no trends in radio spectral index or optical counterpart, and are identified with galaxies of various types.

5.2. The 8.4-GHz Source Count

The density of sources (source count) is generally specified as $dn = n_0 S^\gamma dS$ where dn is the number of sources per solid angle per flux density interval dS at a flux density S. For a flat space which is uniformly filled with radio sources and ignoring the attenuation effects of redshift, the slope $\gamma = -2.5$. In order to determine the two parameters, n_0 and γ, from the 89 source sample determined from five surveys with different sensitivities, we used a modification of the maximum likelihood method (MLM) originally developed for a uniformly obtained sample (Crawford et al. 1970). For a range of test models, we chose reasonable parameters, n_0 and γ, and calculated the relative probability that this model would produce the observed flux density distribution of the 89 sources, using the appropriate constraints for each survey. The best fit model was the one with the highest probability, and the error estimate was determined by the set of models that were at least 37% (1/e) as likely as the best model.

The number of micro-Jansky sources, dn (arcmin$^{-2}\mu$Jy^{-1}), is given by

$$dn = 0.0027 \pm 0.0003 \left(\frac{S}{40}\right)^{(-2.11\pm0.13)} dS \quad (1)$$

The corresponding integral count, N (arcmin^{-2}) is

$$N = 0.099 \pm 0.010 \left(\frac{S}{40}\right)^{(-1.11\pm0.13)} \qquad (2)$$

where N is the number of sources above a flux density S in micro-Jansky. The value, 40 μJy, is the mean flux density of the sources, and in this form, the two parameters n_0 and γ are nearly orthogonal. Above 40 μJy the source density is 0.1 $(\text{arcmin})^{-2}$, or 1.17×10^6 sources sr^{-1}. At the minimum detection level of these surveys, 7.5 μJy, there are 0.64 sources $(\text{arcmin})^{-2}$, or 7.5×10^6 sources sr^{-1}. Extrapolation to weaker flux density with the same slope gives 6 sources $(\text{arcmin})^{-2}$ above 1 μJy, corresponding to an average source separation of $25''$.

The density of sources is still far from the natural confusion limit when sources begin to blend with each other. If C_β is the proportion of sky covered with emission, significant blending occurs for $C_\beta > 0.05$. If we assume an average source size of $2''$. then then $C_{7.5} = 0.0007$. Extrapolating to 1 μJy and 0.1 μJy gives $C_{1.0} = 0.006$ and $C_{0.1} = 0.08$, respectively. Unless the average angular size of most sources is less than $1''$, radio source blending will become a problem below about 0.2 μJy. Recent results from MERLIN, however, shows that some faint radio sources contain a significant part of their emission within an angular size of $0.5''$ (Muxlow et al. 2001; Fomalont et al. 2002).

The plot of the *integral* source count at 8.4 GHz is shown in Figure 6. The ordinate scale has been normalized to the Euclidean integral slope of $\gamma + 1 = -1.5$. At any point in the plot, the ordinate value times $\text{S}^{-1.5}$ gives the number of sources $(\text{arcmin})^{-2}$ with a flux density greater than S μJy. The count derived from the MLM calculation, describe above, is shown by the solid line. This line extends to 1 μJy, although we cannot exclude that the slope of the counts change below our detection limit of 7.5 μJy. The plotted points at the micro-Jansky levels have been obtained by gridding the data in Table 4 into five flux density bins. The results of this binned data are shown in Table 5. The MLM fit is clearly compatible with the gridded data, as it should be. The number of sources in each of the five bins listed in column 4 agrees reasonably well with the number predicted by the MLM fit, given in column 5.

We have added in the count of sources at 8.4 GHz at high flux densities (Windhorst et al. 1993). There are no extensive surveys of sources at 8.4 GHz of intermediate flux density sources between one and eight milli-Janskys. The source count at 8.4 GHz derived at micro-Jansky levels is consistent with that at the milli-Jansky and Jansky levels. Extrapolation of the micro-Jansky count falls slightly under the milli-Jansky points, the excess being produced by the rapid evolution of the brightest radio sources in the sky.

The dotted line shows the best fit count obtained from the Lynx and Cepheus fields only (Windhorst et al. 1993) which contained only 20 sources to a detection level of 14.4 μJy. The 'old' count and the 'new' count differ at the 1.4-σ level near 40 μJy. There may be several reasons for the discrepancy: First, the difference may be real and reflect the anisotropic distribution of sources in the sky on scales of tens of arcmin. Such differences between the number of sources among radio fields have already been noted by others at various frequencies (Windhorst et al. 1985; Windhorst et al. 1993; Hopkins et al. 1998; Hopkins et al. 1999; Richards 2000). Second, the optical and radio selection criteria for the various fields were not the same. The Hubble and SA13 fields were deliberately selected to be radio quiet above 0.5 mJy, whereas the Hercules field contained a known radio source of about 10 mJy. The optical constraints for the HDF selection (no relatively bright objects) may also be relevant. However, since most of the radio sources and statistics come from sources which are in the 10 to 200 μJy flux density range at 8 GHz, the systematic effect of the avoidance of radio fields with sources stronger than 500 μJy is unclear. Third, the Lynx and Cepheus fields were observed with lower resolution than the Hubble and SA13 fields. Although the effects of source blending were considered for the Lynx and Cepheus fields (Windhorst et al. 1993), the blending may be more important than originally thought. The average angular size of the sources in these two fields were also larger than in other fields, and perhaps a consequence of the blending of weak sources.

Regardless of the reasons for the somewhat different count of sources in the Lynx and Cepheus fields, the new results are based on more than four times the number of sources found in five different areas and with higher resolution. When extrapolated to 1 μJy, the new counts give a density of 6 ± 3 (arcmin)$^{-2}$, compared with the previous value of 20 ± 8 (arcmin)$^{-2}$. This difference is about 1.8-σ and should not be regarded as significant.

The average sky brightness at 8.4 GHz, contributed by sources with flux densities above 7.5 μJy is 2×10^{-4}K. Extrapolating to weaker sources, the sky brightness will increase as $S^{\gamma+2}$, assuming a constant slope γ. Using $\gamma = -2.11$, the estimated sky brightness from discrete sources increases by only a factor of 2 for a decrease of 1000 in flux density. Hence, the sky brightness at 8.4 GHz contributed by discrete sources down to the nano-Jansky level is unlikely to exceed 0.001 K unless there is a new population of weaker sources, allowing the slope γ to become more negative (i.e. steeper) than -2.2.

5.3. Identifications in the SA13 and Hubble Fields

Figure 7 shows the distribution of the I-magnitudes of the 63 sources in the complete samples in the SA13 field plus the Hubble Deep and Flanking fields. The density of sources with radio identifications and optical magnitudes between I=17.5 and 20.5 mag is about 30% of the density of all galaxies within this magnitude range (Burkey at al. 1994). For galaxies fainter than I=20.5 mag the distribution remains relatively constant per magnitude interval to I=26.0 mag, with about 20% of the sources unidentified below this magnitude. This distribution is similar to that found in other investigations of the identification of weak radio sources (Hammer et al. 1995; Kellermann & Richards 1999).

Analysis of several HST fields shows that 34%±9% of all galaxies brighter than I=23.3 mag are in binary systems. This is much higher than the 7% found for the galaxy population brighter than I=15 mag, which comprise the 'local' population (Burkey at al. 1994). Thus, galaxy interaction appears to be a strong function of cosmological time, and such galaxy interactions may be the main cause of the strong evolution of radio sources with redshift (Waddington et al. 2001).

This connection between galaxy interaction and radio emission is supported in these data. For the complete sample of 63 radio sources in the SA13 and Hubble fields, 37 are identified with galaxies brighter than I=23.3 mag. Seventeen of these identifications are classified as *g/b* meaning that at least one other galaxy lies within $5''$ of the radio galaxy, possibly indicating galaxy interactions. Thus, 46% of these radio identifications are associated with multiple systems. This percentage is somewhat larger than the 34% of galaxies that are found in multiple systems below $\approx$ 18 mag, and suggests that the radio emission is even more snhanced by galaxy interactions.

A more complete analysis of the optical properties of the radio sources in the SA13 and Hubble fields is given elsewhere (Richards 2000; Richards et al. 2002; Fomalont et al. 2002).

6. Discussion and Conclusions

We have reported the results from two new 8.4 GHz VLA surveys of micro-Jansky radio sources. In one of the fields, SA13, the rms noise is 1.49 μJy and we have detected 49 sources of which 34 are in a complete sample with a flux density above 7.5 μJy. Six sources were detected above 35 μJy in the Hercules field. In Tables 1 and 2 we present the radio catalog for these two fields. Comments and a detailed radio/optical comparison are given for 42 sources in the SA13 field using deep HST and KPNO images. The results from these two fields double the number of 8.4-GHz radio sources now known at micro-Jansky levels.

In the second part of this paper, we have compiled a list of 89 sources in a flux density complete sample from five deep VLA surveys at 8.4 GHz. This source list is dominated by observations of the SA13 field (detection level of 7.5 μJy) and the Hubble deep field plus the flanking fields (detection level of 9.0 μJy). We have used other optical and radio data in order to determine the properties of these weak radio sources.

6.1. The Density and Angular Size of the Micro-Jansky Sources

The count of radio sources follows an approximate power-law with a slope $\gamma = -2.11$ from 10 mJy to less than 10 μJy, with good continuity to the count at the Jansky level (see Figure 6). There are no significant differences in the number of sources detected in each field, as shown by the comparison of columns 8 and 9 in Table 3, which indicate the number of sources detected versus the number expected from the best fit to the count. The average sky brightness temperature contributed by the micro- and nano-Jansky radio sources is extrapolated to be less than 0.001 K as long as the slope γ does not become steeper than -2.2.

Most of the sources are unresolved with $6''$ or even $4''$ resolution. For the 63 sources in the Hubble and SA13 samples, 15 sources (24%) are larger than about $3''$, and only four sources are larger than $5''$. Thus, the angular size of the micro-Jansky sources at 8.4 GHz appears statistically somewhat smaller than the angular size at 1.4 GHz (Richards 2000). The median spectral index of these 15 extended sources is $\alpha_m = -0.81$, steeper than than our general sample and consistent with an increasing angular size with lower frequency. The correlation of large angular size with steeper spectral index is seen for all radio sources, regardless of their flux density. The combination of emission from opaque small-diameter components and large components showing an aging synchrotron (steep) spectrum probably cause this difference in the correlation of angular size and spectral index at the two different frequencies. Higher resolution observations are needed in order to resolve the majority of the micro-Jansky radio sources (Muxlow et al. 2001; Fomalont et al. 2002).

6.2. The Radio Identifications

The radio sources are identified with a variety of galaxy types. Only two of the radio sources are identified with quasars and none with stars. We estimate that about 30% of all galaxies brighter than I=21 mag can be detected at the 10 μJy level at 8.4 GHz. For fainter magnitudes, the radio source identifications are spread relatively evenly, per magnitude interval, with about 20% of the radio sample fainter than I=26 mag. The centroid of the radio emission is nearly always located within $0.5''$ of the galaxy nucleus. The few exceptions in the SA13 field are: J131220+423923 is an empty field which is displaced $5''$ from an I=18-mag galaxy; J131219+423608 contains two radio components each displaced about $2''$ on opposite sides of a I=22 mag galaxy nucleus and is possibly a small double radio source (see notes); J131225+424103 is displaced $2''$ south of an I=22 mag galaxy (see notes); J131239+423911 is displaced $1''$ from the galaxy centroid, but well within the disk component.

The likelihood that a micro-Jansky radio source will be associated with a galaxy, between I=18 to 22 mag with at least one neighbor closer than $5''$, is nearly 50%. This percentage is somewhat larger than the 34% of all such galaxies which are in groups. This suggests that radio source luminosity and/or density evolution is enhanced somewhat within galaxy groups which may be interacting.

6.3. The Radio Spectrum

The spectral index distribution of the micro-Jansky radio sources at 8.4 GHz shows one clear trend; the spectral index distribution steepens for sources below 35 μJy (see Figure 5b). Somewhat less conclusively, the spectral index distribution may also steepen for sources with optical counterparts fainter than I=25.5 mag (see Figure 5c).

The change in the source count and spectral properties of radio sources fainter than 1 mJy is well-documented. Below this level, star-forming galaxies begin to dominate the source population over AGN. The cause for a further change in spectral properties less than 35 μJy may be associated with the different evolution of AGN and star-burst galaxies, but a definitive answer must await for additional observations of the higher energy photons emitted from these fainter radio sources, and measurement of their radio size and internal complexity. Both the AGN and the star-burst phenomena produce a wide range in spectral index, and cannot be used as a good discriminator between the two types. A steepening of the spectral index below 35 μJy could arise in several ways: (1) The opaque emission (always with flat spectrum) from AGN components in weak sources may be a smaller proportion of the total emission; (2) The star-burst phenomenon may produce steeper radio spectra for the weak sources, possibly because of synchrotron aging associated with larger magnetic fields in the weaker, distant sources.

The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation, operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. The Space Telescopes Science Institute is operated by Associated Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under contract to NASA. RBP was supported in part by NSF grant AST96-16971 and by the Keck Northeast Astronomy Consortium. EAR was supported by a Hubble Fellowship.

REFERENCES

Barger, A. J., Cowie, L. L. & Sanders, D. B. 1999, ApJ, 518 L5

Barger, A. J., Cowie, L. L. & Richards, E. A. 2000, AJ, 119, 2029

Blain, A. W., Smail, I., Ivison, R. J. & Kneib, J-P. MNRAS, 302, 632

Brandt, W. N. et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 1

Burkey, J. M., Keel, W. C., Windhorst, R. A. & Franklin, B. E. 1994, ApJ, 429, L13

Campos, A., Yahil, A., Windhorst, R. A., Richards, E. A., Pascarelle, S., Impey, C., & Petry, C. 1999, ApJ, 511, L001

Condon, J. J & Mitchell, K. J. 1984, AJ, 89, 610

Condon, J. J & Yin, Q. F. 1990, ApJ, 357, 97.

Cowie, L. L., Barger, A. J., Bautz, M. W., et al. 2001, ApJ, 551, L9

Cram, L. E. 1998, ApJ, 506, L85

Crawford, D. L., Jauncey, D. L. & Murdoch, H. A. 1970, ApJ, 162, 405

Donnelly, R. H., Partridge, R. B., & Windhorst, R. A. 1987, ApJ, 321, 94

Elbaz, D., et al. 1999, A&A, 351, L37

Fomalont, E. B., Windhorst, R. A., Kristian, J. A. & Kellermann, K. I. 1991, AJ, 102, 1258

Fomalont, E. B., Kellermann, K. I., Richards, E. A., Windhorst, R. A. & Partridge, R. B. 1997, ApJ, 475, L5.

Fomalont, E B., Muxlow, T. W. M., et al. 2002, in preparation.

Garrett, M. A., deBruyn, A .G., Giroletti, M., Baan, W. A. & Schilizzi, R. T., 2000, A&A, 361, L41

Goldschmidts, P., Oliver, S. J., Serjeant, S. B., et al. 1997, MNRAS, 289, 465

Griffiths, R. E., et al. 1994, ApJ, 435, L19

Haarsma, D. B., Partridge, R. B., Windhorst, R. A., & Richards, E. A. 2000, ApJ, 544, 641

Hammer, F., Crampton, D., Lilly, S. J., LeFebre, O. & Kenet, T. 1995, MNRAS, 276, 1085

Hasinger, G., Burg, R., Giaconni, R., Schmidt, M., Trumper, J. & Zamorani, G. 1998, A&A, 329, 482

Hopkins, A. M., Mobasher, B., Cram, L., & Rowan-Robinson, M. 1998, MNRAS, 296, 839

Hopkins, A., Afonso, J., Cram, L., & Mobasher, B. 1999, ApJ, 519, L59

Hughes, D. H., Serjeant, S., Dunlop, J., et al. 1998, Nature, 394, 241

Kellermann, K. I. & Richards, E. A., 1999, in Scientific Imperatives at Centermeter Wavelengths, Ed. M. P. van Haarlem & J. M. van der Huivel, (Dwingeloo, NFRA), in press

Lilly, S. J., LeFevre, O., Hammer, F. & Crampton, D. 1996, ApJ, 460, L1

Madau, P., Ferguson, H. C., Dickinson, M. E., Giavalisco, M., Steidel, C. C. & Fruchter, A., 1996, MNRAS, 283, 1388

Mobasher, B., Cram, L., Georgakakis, A. & Hopkins, A. 1999, MNRAS, 308, 45.

Mushotzsky, R. F., Cowie, L. L., Barger, A. J. & Arnaud, K. A. 2000, Nature, 404, 459

Muxlow, T. W. B., Wilkinson, P. N. et al., in preparation

Oort, M. J. A., & Windhorst, R. A. 1985, A&Ap, 145, 405

Partridge, R. B., Hilldrup, K. C., & Ratner, M. I. 1986, ApJ, 308, 46

Partridge, R. B., Richards, E. A., Fomalont, E. B., Kellermann, K. I. & Windhorst, R. A. 1997, ApJ, 483, 38.

Pascarelle, S. M., Windhorst, R. A., Driver, S. P., Ostrander, E. J., & Keel, W. C. 1996a, ApJ, 456, L021

Pascarelle, S. M., Windhorst, R. A., Keel, W. C., & Odewahn, S. C. 1996b, Nature, 383, 45

Pascarelle, S. M., Windhorst, R. A., & Keel, W. C. 1998, AJ, 116, 2659

Pascarelle, S. M., Armus, L., Scoville, N. Z., Windhorst, R. A., & Cohen, S. H. 2001, AJ, submitted

Prandoni, I., Gregorini, L., Parma, P., de Ruiter, H. R., Vettolani, G., Wieringa, M. H. & Ekers, R. D. 2001, A&A, 365, 392.

Richards, E. A., Kellermann, K. I., Fomalont, E. B., Windhorst, R. A. & Partridge, R. A. 1998, AJ, 116, 1039

Richards, E. A., Fomalont, E. B., Kellermann, K. I., Windhorst, R. A., Partridge, R. B., Cowie, L. L. & Barger, A. J. 1999, ApJ, 526, L73

Richards, E. A. 2000, ApJ, 533, 611

Fomalont, E. B., et al. 2002, in preparation

Rowan-Robinson, M., Benn, C. R., Lawrence, A., McMahon, R. G. & Broadhusrt, T. J. 1993, MNRAS, 263, 123

Rowan-Robinson, M., Mann, R. G., Oliver, S. J. et al. 1997, MNRAS, 289, 490

Scott, D., Lagache, G., Borys, C. et al. 2000, A&A, 357, 5

Steidel, C. C., Adelberger, K. L., Giavalisco, M., Dickinson, M. & Pettini, M. ApJ, 519, 1

Waddington, I., Windhorst, R. A., Dunlop, J. S., Peacock, J .A. & Koos, D. C. 2001, MNRAS, in press

Williams, R. E., et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 2735

Windhorst, R. A., van Heerde, G., & Katgert, P. 1984, A&A Sup. 58, 1

Windhorst, R. A., Miley, G. K., Owen, F. N., Kron, R. G., & Koo, D. C. 1985, ApJ, 289, 494

Windhorst, R. A., Fomalont, E. B., Kellermann, K. I., Partridge, R. B. & Lowenthal J. D. 1993, ApJ, 405, 498

Windhorst, R. A., et al. 1994, AJ, 107, 930

Windhorst, R. A., Fomalont, E. B., Kellermann, K. I., Partidge, R. B., Richards, E. A., Franklin, B. E., Pascarelle, S. M. & Griffiths, R. E. 1995, Nature, 375, 471

Windhorst, R. A., Keel, W. C., & Pascarelle, S. M. 1998, ApJ, 494, L27

This 2-column preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.0.

Table 1

SA13 SOURCE LIST AND IDENTIFICATIONS

Num		Source	$S_{8.4}$	SNR	Size	RA (J2000)	DEC (J2000)	Identification			
New	Old		(μJy)		(″)			Type	Bmag	Vmag	Imag
1		J131157+423910	109.3 ± 8.1	14.8	< 2.0	13 11 57.499 ± 0.02	42 39 10.16 ± 0.21	g	>26.0		23.5
2		J131157+423630	196.6 ± 10.2	23.2	< 2.0	13 11 57.903 ± 0.01	42 36 30.15 ± 0.14	EF	>26.0		> 25.5
3		*J131203+424030	213.2 ± 8.7	36.7	< 2.0	13 12 03.092 ± 0.01	42 40 30.68 ± 0.10	g	25.5		24.3
4		J131203+423331	886.1 ± 60.9	15.6	< 2.0	13 12 03.508 ± 0.02	42 33 31.72 ± 0.20	g	24.0		22.2
5		J131205+423851	12.4 ± 2.6	5.0	< 3.0	13 12 05.596 ± 0.06	42 38 51.15 ± 0.60	EF	>26.0		> 25.5
6		J131207+423945	14.0 ± 2.8	5.4	< 2.5	13 12 07.645 ± 0.06	42 39 45.87 ± 0.56	EF	>26.0		> 25.5
7		J131209+424217	93.4 ± 17.8	5.8	< 2.5	13 12 09.068 ± 0.05	42 42 17.49 ± 0.52	g	25.5		23.4
8		J131211+424053	717.0 ± 21.9	183.0	< 2.0	13 12 11.029 ± 0.01	42 40 53.66 ± 0.05	g/b	24.5		20.8
9		*J131213+423555	12.2 ± 2.6	4.7	< 3.0	13 12 13.303 ± 0.07	42 35 55.76 ± 0.64	g/b	21.7		19.5
10		J131213+424129	48.4 ± 5.6	9.2	< 2.5	13 12 13.446 ± 0.04	42 41 29.44 ± 0.33	ell	21.9		18.7
11		J131213+423706	9.4 ± 1.7	5.6	< 2.5	13 12 13.858 ± 0.06	42 37 06.50 ± 0.53	g?		>26.8	25.5
12	1	*J131214+423821	6.5 ± 1.6	4.3	3.5	13 12 14.518 ± 0.08	42 38 21.86 ± 1.02	g/b	23.7	23.4	21.8
13	2	*J131214+423730	6.2 ± 1.6	4.4	< 3.0	13 12 14.666 ± 0.09	42 37 30.96 ± 1.13	g		26.3	25.2
14	3	J131215+423702	13.4 ± 1.7	7.8	< 2.5	13 12 15.130 ± 0.04	42 37 02.70 ± 0.39	g/b	21.4	20.7	19.7
15	4	J131215+423901	26.6 ± 1.8	14.9	3.0	13 12 15.280 ± 0.02	42 39 01.16 ± 0.21	Q	18.6	18.4	17.8
16	5	J131216+423921	29.5 ± 2.0	15.4	< 2.5	13 12 16.083 ± 0.02	42 39 21.47 ± 0.20	EF		>26.8	> 25.8
17	6	J131217+423912	20.1 ± 1.8	11.7	< 2.0	13 12 17.181 ± 0.03	42 39 12.15 ± 0.26	g/b	22.5	22.2	20.0
18	7	J131217+423930	15.5 ± 1.9	8.6	< 2.5	13 12 17.601 ± 0.04	42 39 30.49 ± 0.35	EF		>26.8	> 25.8
19	8	*J131218+433907	6.4 ± 1.6	4.3	< 3.0	13 12 18.311 ± 0.09	42 39 07.79 ± 1.07	g?		>26.8	25.2
20	9	J131218+423843	25.0 ± 1.7	14.8	5.0	13 12 18.437 ± 0.02	42 38 43.92 ± 0.21	g/b	24.0	23.1	20.3
21		J131219+423608	26.4 ± 2.4	11.4	4.0	13 12 19.838 ± 0.03	42 36 08.91 ± 0.27	g	23.8		22.1
22	10	J131219+423831	11.6 ± 1.6	6.7	4.5	13 12 19.932 ± 0.05	42 38 31.39 ± 0.45	g	23.0	22.2	20.4
23	12	J131220+423923	14.5 ± 1.9	7.7	< 2.5	13 12 20.016 ± 0.04	42 39 23.97 ± 0.39	EF?		>26.8	> 25.8
24	11	J131220+423704	13.9 ± 1.7	8.4	< 2.5	13 12 20.185 ± 0.04	42 37 04.02 ± 0.36	EF		>26.8	> 25.8
25		J131220+424029	33.7 ± 3.0	11.5	< 2.0	13 12 20.236 ± 0.03	42 40 29.67 ± 0.26	EF	>26.0		> 25.5
26		J131220+423535	20.4 ± 3.0	6.7	< 2.5	13 12 20.871 ± 0.05	42 35 35.33 ± 0.45	EF	>26.0		> 25.5
27	13	J131221+423923	11.5 ± 1.9	5.4	5.0	13 12 21.109 ± 0.06	42 39 23.49 ± 0.55	sp	20.2	19.2	18.0
28	15	J131221+423722	10.0 ± 1.7	5.9	< 2.5	13 12 21.397 ± 0.05	42 37 22.91 ± 0.51	sp	20.0	19.0	17.8
29	16	J131221+423827	18.5 ± 1.7	10.2	< 2.5	13 12 21.839 ± 0.03	42 38 27.55 ± 0.30	g/b		24.3	22.7
30	17	J131222+423813	10.4 ± 1.6	5.6	< 3.5	13 12 22.428 ± 0.06	42 38 13.47 ± 0.54	Q	21.3	21.0	19.6
31	18	J131223+423908	19.3 ± 2.0	9.2	2.5	13 12 23.298 ± 0.04	42 39 08.35 ± 0.33	g/b	22.6	21.6	19.3
32	19	J131223+423712	27.7 ± 2.0	15.2	< 2.0	13 12 23.693 ± 0.02	42 37 12.09 ± 0.20	g/b	21.3	20.6	18.8
33		J131223+423525	65.5 ± 4.1	17.7	< 2.0	13 12 23.999 ± 0.02	42 35 25.57 ± 0.18	Ell	22.4		18.9
34	20	*J131224+433804	6.2 ± 1.6	4.2	< 3.0	13 12 24.133 ± 0.09	42 38 04.89 ± 1.02	g		25.5	24.0
35		J131225+423656	12.9 ± 2.1	6.5	< 2.5	13 12 25.201 ± 0.05	42 36 56.66 ± 0.47	g?	>26.8		25.0
36		J131225+424103	34.9 ± 4.8	6.7	< 2.5	13 12 25.228 ± 0.05	42 41 03.44 ± 0.45	g?	>26.0		25.5
37	23	J131225+423941	200.3 ± 6.5	79.3	< 2.0	13 12 25.743 ± 0.01	42 39 41.58 ± 0.06	g/b	>26.5		25.5
38		*J131226+424227	318.3 ± 25.3	13.0	< 2.0	13 12 26.287 ± 0.03	42 42 27.43 ± 0.24	Ell	20.9		17.7
39	24	J131227+423800	25.6 ± 2.3	11.6	< 2.0	13 12 27.532 ± 0.03	42 38 00.23 ± 0.26	g/b	22.1	22.0	19.8
40		J131232+424038	165.7 ± 8.9	20.5	< 3.0	13 12 32.797 ± 0.02	42 40 38.42 ± 0.15	g	>26.0		24.8
41		*J131236+424027	54.6 ± 11.5	4.8	< 2.0	13 12 36.044 ± 0.07	42 40 27.66 ± 0.63	g	20.2		18.3
42		J131239+423911	66.2 ± 12.7	5.1	< 2.0	13 12 39.705 ± 0.06	42 39 11.32 ± 0.60	g	>25.0		24.5
a		*J131213+423932	4.2 ± 1.7	2.5	< 4.0	13 12 13.521 ± 0.26	42 39 32.16 ± 1.33	g			20.0
b		*J131213+423826	4.8 ± 1.6	2.7	< 4.0	13 12 13.583 ± 0.22	42 38 26.68 ± 1.13	g			24.5
c		*J131215+423913	6.7 ± 1.6	3.8	< 3.0	13 12 15.847 ± 0.12	42 39 13.22 ± 0.70	g			23.2
d		*J131216+423920	4.8 ± 1.6	2.8	< 6.0	13 12 16.521 ± 0.25	42 39 20.40 ± 2.20	g			23.8
e		*J131219+423932	5.0 ± 1.9	2.9	< 6.0	13 12 19.092 ± 0.22	42 39 32.20 ± 1.80	g/b			24.0

TABLE 1—*Continued*

Num		Source	$S_{8.4}$	SNR	Size	RA (J2000)	DEC (J2000)	Identification			
New	Old		(μJy)		($''$)			Type	Bmag	Vmag	Imag
f		*J131221+423836	4.8 ± 1.8	2.8	< 3.5	13 12 21.794 ± 0.13	42 38 36.96 ± 1.40	g			22.4
g		*J131225+423742	5.5 ± 1.8	3.3	6.0	13 12 25.065 ± 0.17	42 37 42.33 ± 1.50	g			18.0
h		*J131225+423907	5.0 ± 1.8	3.0	< 4.0	13 12 25.549 ± 0.15	42 39 07.01 ± 1.60	g			22.5

NOTE.— *** = Not in complete sample.**

Galaxy Types: **g** - galaxy of unknown type. **g/b** - interacting galaxies. Magnitudes given for galaxy associated with the radio source. **Q** - Quasar. **g?** - Uncertain identification with a faint object. **EF** - Empty field with magnitude limit given. **ell** - Elliptical galaxy. **sp** - Spiral galaxy.

Table 2
HERCULES SOURCE LIST AND IDENTIFICATIONS

Num	Source	$S_{8.4}$ (μJy)	SNR	Size ($''$)	RA.(J2000)	DEC (J2000)	Comments
1	J171349+501610	1136 ± 63	20.3	< 2.0	17 13 49.077 ± 0.02	50 16 10.49 ± 0.16	
2	J171354+501547	153 ± 22	6.7	< 2.5	17 13 54.160 ± 0.05	50 15 47.70 ± 0.45	
3	*J171407+501547	41 ± 8	4.9	< 3.0	17 14 07.032 ± 0.06	50 15 47.76 ± 0.61	
4	J171411+501602	37 ± 7	5.0	< 3.0	17 14 11.951 ± 0.06	50 16 02.42 ± 0.60	Obj 18, 23.5m g/b
5	J171414+501530	6482 ± 20	0.9	< 1.5	17 14 14.754 ± 0.00	50 15 30.46 ± 0.05	53W002, 22.1m g/b
6	J171415+501535	49 ± 7	8.5	< 3.0	17 14 15.219 ± 0.01	50 15 35.45 ± 0.15	
7	J171416+501817	495 ± 22	30.4	< 2.0	17 14 16.771 ± 0.01	50 18 17.10 ± 0.11	
8	*J171424+501339	62 ± 13	4.7	< 3.0	17 14 24.613 ± 0.07	50 13 39.78 ± 0.64	
9	*J171436+501329	194 ± 42	4.5	< 3.0	17 14 36.222 ± 0.07	50 13 29.56 ± 0.67	
10	*J171442+501640	505 ± 99	4.9	< 3.0	17 14 42.629 ± 0.07	50 16 40.09 ± 0.62	

Note.— *** = Not in complete sample**

Table 3

PARAMETERS FOR FIVE VLA 8.4-GHZ DEEP INTEGRATIONS

Field	Date	RA (J2000)	DEC (J2000)	τ (hr)	S_{det} (μJy)	N_{tot}	N_{cs}	N_{fit}	Ref.
Cepheus	1988-89	03 10 00	80 00 00	30	20.3	36	6	10.2	1,2,3
Lynx	1989-90	08 41 40	44 45 00	63	12.8	46	14	17.1	1,4
Hercules	1996	17 14 15	50 15 30	12	35.0	10	6	5.5	0
SA13	1994-95	13 12 17	42 38 05	159	7.5	50	34	30.9	0,5,6
Hubble	1996-97	12 36 49	62 12 58	139	9.0	60	29	25.2	7,8,9

Note.— **References**
0=This paper; 1=Windhorst et al. 1993; 2=Donnelly et al. 1987; 3=Windhorst et al. 1985; 4=Windhorst et al. 1984; 5=Windhorst et al. 1995; 6=Richards et al. 2002; 7=Fomalont et al. 1997; 8=Richards et al. 1998; 9=Richards et al. 2000

TABLE 4
CATALOG OF MICRO-JANSKY SOURCES AT 8.4 GHZ

Source	$S_{8.4}$ (μJy)	α	ID Type	I-mag	X-ray
J030755+801008	1221	−1.0			
J030852+801409	449	+0.2			
J030902+800955	54	+0.2			
J030924+801159	34	−0.4			
J031005+800824	52	−0.2			
J031101+800943	293	−0.6			
J084120+444453	58	−0.5			
J084121+444318	289	−0.7			
J084126+444648	62	−0.6			
J084133+444544	20	−0.7			
J084134+444409	50	−0.2			
J084135+444442	21	−0.8			
J084136+444443	32	−0.6			
J084141+444509	32	−0.6			
J084141+444452	35	−1.0			
J084142+444613	110	−0.6			
J084142+444718	115	−0.2			
J084144+444534	62	−0.8			
J084150+444545	31	−1.1			
J084155+444640	78	−0.9			
J123632+621105	22	> −0.1	sp	20.1	
J123634+621212	56	−0.7	g/b	19.3	
J123634+621240	53	−0.7	g/b	23.5	
J123637+621135	18	> −0.2	sp	18.2	
J123640+621010	29	−0.4	g	25.0	
J123641+621142	19	−0.3	g/b	22.7	
J123642+621331	80	−0.9	g?	25.8	YES
J123642+621545	54	−0.5	g	22.0	
J123644+621249	10	> −0.4	g/b	21.9	YES
J123644+621133	*599	−0.3	Ell	20.5	YES
J123646+621448	* 25	−0.8	g/b	26.0	
J123646+621445	13	−1.0	g	23.9	
J123646+621404	190	0.0	sp	20.0	YES
J123649+621313	22	−0.7	g/b	21.8	YES
J123651+621030	26	−0.7	sp	20.7	
J123651+621221	17	−0.7	g	27.8	YES
J123652+621444	185	0.1	Ell	19.4	
J123653+621139	15	−0.8	sp	22.3	
J123655+621311	12	> −0.2	g/b	23.1	YES
J123657+621455	15	> −0.2	g	22.8	
J123700+620908	* 67	−0.9	g	26.3	
J123701+621146	* 30	−0.7	g/b	25.4	
J123707+611408	* 29	−0.3	g	26.4	
J123708+621056	* 26	−0.4	sp	20.4	
J123708+621246	20	> −0.1	off	24.4	
J123711+621331	* 31	−0.7	g/b	23.1	
J123716+621512	*145	−0.4	g/b	20.4	
J123721+621129	677	−0.3	EF	>27.0	
J123725+621128	*613	−1.2	g	24.1	
J131157+423910	109	−0.3	g	23.5	
J131157+423630	197	−0.6	EF	>25.5	
J131203+423331	886	−0.3	g	22.2	

Table 4—*Continued*

Source	$S_{8.4}$ (μJy)	α	ID Type	I-mag	X-ray
J131205+423851	12	−0.7	EF	>25.5	
J131207+423945	14	−0.7	EF	>25.5	
J131209+424217	93	−0.4	g	23.4	
J131211+424053	717	−0.6	g/b	20.8	
J131213+424129	48	0.0	Ell	18.7	
J131213+423706	9	−0.8	g?	25.5	
J131215+423702	13	−0.4	g/b	19.7	
J131215+423901	* 27	−0.5	Q	17.8	YES
J131216+423921	30	−0.9	EF	>25.8	
J131217+423912	20	−0.6	g/b	20.0	
J131217+423930	16	−0.9	EF	>25.8	
J131218+423843	* 25	−0.9	g/b	20.3	
J131219+423608	* 26	−0.9	g	22.1	
J131219+423831	* 12	−0.8	g	20.4	
J131220+423923	15	−0.7	EF	>25.8	
J131220+423704	14	−1.0	EF	>25.8	
J131220+424029	34	−0.3	EF	>25.5	
J131220+423535	20	−0.6	EF	>25.5	
J131221+423923	* 12	−0.8	sp	18.0	
J131221+423722	10	−0.9	sp	17.8	
J131221+423827	19	−0.8	g/b	22.7	
J131222+423813	10	−0.5	Q	19.6	YES
J131223+423908	* 19	−0.8	g/b	19.3	
J131223+423712	28	−0.8	g/b	18.8	
J131223+423525	66	−0.1	Ell	18.9	
J131225+423656	13	> −0.1	g?	25.0	
J131225+424103	35	−0.7	g?	25.5	
J131225+423941	200	−0.7	EF	>25.5	
J131227+423800	26	−0.9	g/b	19.8	
J131232+424038	166	−0.8	g	24.8	
J131239+423911	66	−0.6	g	24.5	
J171349+501610	1138				
J171354+501547	153				
J171411+501602	37		g/b	23,5	
J171414+501530	6480	−1.1	g/b	22.1	
J171415+501535	49				
J071416+501817	495				

Note.— * = Source is resolved, > 2.5″

Galaxy Types

g - galaxy of unknown type. **g/b** - interacting galaxies. Magnitudes given for galaxy associated with the radio source. **Q** - Quasar. **g?** - Uncertain identification with a faint object. **EF** - Empty field with magnitude limit given. **ell** - Elliptical galaxy. **sp** - Spiral galaxy.

Table 5

The Count of Sources at 8.4 GHz

Range of $S_{8.4}$ (μJy)	¡$S_{8.4}$ > (μJy)	Area (arcmin^2)	# Obs	# Fit	Ratio Obs/Fit
7.5 to 19.0	13.7	65	21	26.6	0.79 ± 0.17
9.0 to 30.0	24.5	174	20	15.6	1.28 ± 0.29
30.0 to 65.0	44.9	317	20	24.8	0.81 ± 0.18
65.0 to 600.0	195.0	558	21	29.4	0.71 ± 0.16
$>$ 600.0	1675.0	653	7	3.1	2.32 ± 0.91